

TARIFF TALK.

INDULGED IN BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Mr. Kasson Announces the Closing of the Debate To-Day. Mr. Kasson's speech was a Middle Course. The Scheme Characterized as a Process for Delay—Closing Speeches.

WASHINGTON, May 4.—In the house Mr. Kasson, of Iowa, in moving to go into committee of the whole on the tariff commission bill, stated that he expected that general debate on the bill would close to-day with the exception of the speeches to be delivered by the members of the ways and means committee, who have not yet spoken. He hoped for a vote on the bill before question on Saturday next. The house then, at 11:25, went into committee of the whole. Mr. Robinson, of Massachusetts, in the chair, on the bill introduced.

IN FAVOR OF THE BILL.
Mr. Candler, of Massachusetts, advocated the passage of the bill, and read a petition of fifty manufacturers, representing industries that employed \$300,000,000 capital, consumed 1,000,000 bales of cotton a year, and that it created 10,000,000 spindles, in its favor. He supported the proposition for a commission on the ground that the ways and means committee, however able and honest, was inadequate for the work of a proper revision of the tariff. It had neither the time nor the experience to treat with a question like this in the detailed manner in which it must be treated. Mr. Finley, of Florida, repudiated the doctrine of the extreme free traders and the extreme protectionists, and favored the middle course—a tariff for revenue with incidental protection.

A SCHEME FOR DELAY.
Mr. Corbitt, of Virginia, regarded the appointment of the tariff commission as a scheme for delay, and announced his opposition to the proposition. The country had had one commission, and that to 7 business had been quite enough. He said that the commission was for no more, for the results of the proposed commission would not be more palatable than the results of the electoral commission had been. He said that congress could not intelligently legislate upon a revision of that abominable—the Morrill tariff. Among other speakers on the bill were Messrs. McKane, of Maryland, Morrison, of Illinois, and others. The committee finally arose and the house adjourned.

THE DECISION REACHED.
It has been decided to close the debate on the tariff commission bill sometime to-morrow. Messrs. Kelley and Tucker will close the debate for the republican and democratic parties respectively.

TUESDAY'S SCENES.

The Democratic Filibustering—Our Delegation Stands.

WASHINGTON, May 2.—To-day the democrats filibustered in the house and prevented the republicans from ordering a period to the long debate on the tariff commission bill for next Thursday. What the party had to gain by such dilatory tactics I cannot see. The bill is bound to pass, and the debate has come to the point where it is bound to pass. Only three or four speeches since it began have held anything like attention, and now when a member dives into his desk for a pound two or three minutes, and then comes back there is a general rush for the clock rooms and restaurants. When Mr. Randall will consent to have the debate end, he is definitely made. He will stay it off into next week, but the democrats can gain nothing by dragging out the session. They had better be getting through and adjourning. The republicans are in a position to claim the next house. To make their claim good will require work of the liveliest kind. Nothing but the most vigorous arguments on the tariff. The bill to establish the commission was rather claimed by the democrats, or some of their leaders in the senate. It is claimed by the democrats in Washington all winter working for its passage, and is regarded as a probable commissioner. In the house a large majority of the democrats oppose the bill, though party lines are not and could not be drawn on it. I believe the entire delegation from Georgia, except Mr. Spear, oppose it. Mr. Bayard, in reply to Senator Garland's expressed fear that the commission would be "fixed up" to suit the moneyed interests of the country, replied in one of his high strains that we must not anticipate such manipulations by the executive, and said it would seal the doom of the republican party. He said that the commission was not a republican confidence in republicanism, honesty and timidity is not generally shared by the democrats in the house. They look upon the tariff commission as a mere pruning-hook will touch New England tenderly, and they argue with good show of reason that at last the real work of revising and equalizing the tariff will be done by congress. The present bill was proposed to economize time, but it has scooped the lion's share of the session and is still on hand.

The men most benefited by the delay, probably, are the democrats in the house holding contested seats. The leaders of their party having announced the principle that they will never do to filibuster on an election case, seem to concede the impossibility of stopping the republican "purging" programme. Chalmers is likely to be followed by five or six other democrats if Robeson and Calkins don't wear out their lungs before they finish the list of victims.

Here are at a lull. Pleasant has been confirmed as collector at Savannah. Newton for postmaster at Brunswick and Arnold for postmaster at Columbus are suspended from consideration until Senator Brown shall return. Arnold will go through in Newton's chances are doubtful, with the strong counter petition of citizens who want North retained and that gentleman's fine record as a Union soldier. He was disabled in the field. Newton belongs to the Longstreet crowd. As to the new district judgeship, rumor says the appointment will be made in a few days. Mr. Atkins and his friends seem to be more certain than ever of his elevation to the bench since the administration demonstrates its implicit trust in the syndicate by every appointment in Georgia. Mr. Charles R. Johnson, of Griffin, is the most prominent candidate for district attorney of the southern district.

THE COLORED VOTES.
Since the colored convention at Macon friends of the extreme here are getting out reports that the colored voters of Georgia are solidified in support of the new movement, and they offer this as the omen of their success to the administration. The history of colored Georgia politicians in Washington is sad enough. Pledger went home dejected with the fragments of a dozen broken promises. White, of Augusta, was tantalized with the Augusta post-office, and then sent off empty handed. Like these have suffered nearly all the colored aspirants from Georgia and the south, and it is not surprising that the negro's readiness to be defied on promises is a while longer.

NO HOPE OF ADJOURNMENT NOW BEFORE THE FIRST OF JULY.
President Arthur contemplates a general southern tour next fall.

It is a notorious fact that the lobbyists do not hang around southern members. Congressman Forney, of Alabama, is talked of here as a gubernatorial candidate. Mr. Forney says the feeling in favor of passing a general educational bill is growing, and may result in something to be done before the session is over.

The house judiciary committee has never reported on Mr. Stephens's resolution inquiring by what right Keifer discharged the stenographer Hayes. The other day when Randall was making a parliamentary point Robeson yelled out: "The gentleman forgives. He is not now speaking of this house." Randall quickly replied: "I know that, but I am a member in good standing." Robeson squashed into his chair.

VOORHEES'S HONOR

Made the Text of a Senate Debate—The Disabilities Bill.

WASHINGTON, May 4.—The senate a number of bills were introduced and referred. Mr. Voorhees's resolution for a committee of investigation into the alleged corrupt or improper conduct of the finance committee, under the laws in regard to distilled spirits in bonded warehouses, was taken up. Mr. Winthrop moved an amendment providing for an investigation as to whether any money had been raised by contribution or otherwise, from parties in interest to secure the passage of a bill. Mr. Voorhees accepted the amendments, and said he had offered the resolution because of newspaper exposures upon himself as a member of the finance committee. Messrs. Bayard, Morrill, Harris, Beck, Vest, Ingalls and Terry asserted their confidence in the personal and official integrity of Mr. Voorhees, and regarded the charges as unworthy of further notice. Each of the speakers concurred in the view that the senate could not afford to occupy the public time, to the exclusion of legislative business, upon anonymous newspaper accusations, but upon the phase of the subject presented by Mr. Winthrop the sentiment was unanimously in favor of an immediate and thorough investigation, in view of the statement made by Mr. Winthrop that he had received information which he believed, that an enormous sum of money had been raised by the so-called whisky ring, to procure the passage of a bill through congress. He said he could not vouch for the information, but it was a matter of fact that he believed that the bill passed the country would, within a few years, be shocked by the development, operation and success of the whisky ring, as pale into utter insignificance the ring of two or three years ago. A large amount was now due on whisky in bond, and the bill proposed to subject to a public auction and give the combination a chance to raise the treasury to the tune of \$700,000,000. He coincided in the opinion that the personal character of the senator from Indiana was not insignificant to deserve notice, but he wanted to go to the bottom of the charges to which he now called attention. Mr. Beck read a telegram from the senator from Indiana, who, he said, had been given authority for the accusations against Mr. Voorhees, disclaiming all responsibility therefor, and adding that the senator from Indiana was greatly exaggerated and misrepresented. Mr. Voorhees said the aspersions upon his own character were to the effect that he was controlled by one or more persons in Washington, but in view of the disclaimer read by Mr. Beck, which he regarded as a strict and explicit denial of the charges, he would leave the disposition of the resolution to the pleasure of the senate. After further discussion, Mr. Winthrop withdrew his amendment, with a notice that he would renew the row on an independent proposition, and a resolution was then postponed indefinitely without objection.

THE DISABILITIES DEBATE.
The bill repealing the disqualification for army service imposed upon ex-confederates, came up in order when Mr. Blair introduced a pending motion to commit the judiciary committee prevailed by a party vote—yeas 28, nays 28. Mr. Davis, of Illinois, voting away with the republicans on the whole, who was present to-day, also voted away.

THE CHIEF JUSTICE SIGNED.
The chief justice signed the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE HIRED SENATOR.

MR. BLAIR BEFORE THE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE.

He Tells How He Met With Shipyard, his Opponent, and the National Frontiers in South America, and His Friendly Interest in the South American Republics.

WASHINGTON, May 4.—Senator Blair was again before the foreign relations committee to-day and was cross-examined during the entire session by Chairman Williams. Mr. Williams began the investigation by asking: "I understand that you are more interested in the policy of the United States than in any financial scheme?" Mr. Blair, in reply, entered into a long statement of the interest he had taken in inter-oceanic canal projects, and incidental thereto the policy of the United States towards the South American governments, the Monroe doctrine, United States control over any isthmian canal, and the value to the United States of alliances with the Central and South American states.

MR. BLAIR'S ANSWER.
Then, in reply to a question, Mr. Blair stated that the first meeting with Shipyard in the latter's office was in 1871, when he was introduced by Ex-Senator Cragin. Witness would not undertake to give the dialogue with the fluency and the apparent accuracy that Shipyard had employed, but the court stated that he had explained at great length the points of the Cochec claim, and discussed the situation in detail. The probability that Chile would accept a money indemnity, and the desire on the part of those he (Shipyard) represented to secure the removal of the Chilean forces from her territory, and to enable her to satisfy Chile's demands for an indemnity.

ANSWER—Yes, I did. Chairman Williams: Your purpose to aid in accomplishing this result, was it not? Answer—Yes, sir; it was. Mr. Williams—"Just what Shipyard wanted you to do. Will you please be careful to state to the committee your understanding of it?" HIS WORK MAPPED OUT.

Answer—He wanted me primarily to examine his papers and give my opinion upon them, but more particularly he desired me to ascertain the attitude of this government towards Chile and Peru. He wanted me to go to the secretary of state, and learn what the policy of this government would be. I thought it proper and right to consult with the secretary of state, and I know of no other counsel at that time retained by Shipyard. The witness replied that he (Shipyard) showed Buttrick's opinion, and mentioned having consulted other counsel at that time. He (Shipyard) seemed to entertain no doubt whatever of the validity of the Cochec claim. Mr. Williams then inquired as to the date of the meeting of July 20th and 21st at Mr. Blair's house.

THE CLASH AT MR. BLAIR'S.
The witness said he saw Mr. Blair and told him that he had been given authority for the accusations against Mr. Voorhees, disclaiming all responsibility therefor, and adding that the senator from Indiana was greatly exaggerated and misrepresented. Mr. Blair said the aspersions upon his own character were to the effect that he was controlled by one or more persons in Washington, but in view of the disclaimer read by Mr. Beck, which he regarded as a strict and explicit denial of the charges, he would leave the disposition of the resolution to the pleasure of the senate. After further discussion, Mr. Winthrop withdrew his amendment, with a notice that he would renew the row on an independent proposition, and a resolution was then postponed indefinitely without objection.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

THE SENATE RESUMED CONSIDERATION OF THE bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill. The senate resumed consideration of the bill to amend the Chinese bill, which was introduced by the court of appeals bill.

them and the present actions. It is intimated that the two prosecuting officers regard them as closely connected, and even entertain the belief that they are but parts of one grand conspiracy.

TACTICS OF DELAY.
The proceedings began with the introduction of another motion to quash by Mr. Totten, in Turner's case. He said the grounds were substantially the same as those already disposed of in the other cases, and he asked to have the plea of not guilty withdrawn, and to have the motion entertained. Mr. Totten also presented several other motions of an obstructive character, and proceeded to explain the new points they involve. The question was disposed of by Judge Wylie as follows: "The defendants, after many dilatory motions were finally refused, and had nothing to say. They refused to plead, and the court entered the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after refusing to plead, now on the plea of trial asks leave to withdraw his plea and enter another motion to quash. It was too late. He would not use his own discretion and enter the plea of not guilty. A plea in abatement had been made based on the misbehavior of the jury. There was nothing in the plea to sustain it, and the court allowed it. Turpin, after

THE WORLD'S TIMBER SUPPLY.

Now Apparently Inexhaustible Forests Have Been Cut, and That Still Remain.

From the New York Commercial Bulletin.

The substitution of iron for wood in many branches of manufacture, more especially in the case of iron vessels and sleepers for railroads, has by no means curtailed the world's demand for timber. The multifarious uses of wood, the vast amount of building that is going on in all civilized countries, to say nothing of the rapid multiplication of all kinds of factories, bring us to the conclusion that the question is, the wood supply sufficient for prospective requirements? The United States and Canada, as is well known, have been the greatest sources of the lumber of late years, but time was when as many as 900 cargoes of timber were annually loaded at Menzel for Great Britain alone. Not only is the demand increasing, but the forests are rapidly disappearing, and thus far no efficacious steps have been taken in any country to renew them. Sweden and Norway were one time regarded as inexhaustible sources of supply. All the great forests there were brought up by English merchants and contractors, and now these countries are partly to draw their supplies from further north, and import their oak from Poland. Northern Russia, which was at one time an immense forest all the way from the Baltic to the Ural mountains, has increased its cutting so rapidly that St. Petersburg even has to bring its heavy lumber now from the center of the empire. The great forests of Finland also are almost cleared away. The forests along the Vistula and the Nieman, which may be considered as the sources of supply for the great German trade, have receded, and to such an extent that it is difficult to fill orders. Koenigsberg, Tilsit and Danzig, Germany, has upward of 30,000 acres of forests, Prussia possessing the larger portion; but their value is greatly diminished by the inferior quality of the pine in the northern provinces. Austria had magnificent forests formerly, and there still remains to her 18,243,810 hectares, or half as much more as possessed by Germany. These are mostly in Bohemia, Galicia, and Transylvania, and the fact that they are so far removed from navigation renders them practically unavailable for the European markets. The forests that once covered the eastern shores of the Adriatic are entirely gone. Italy has a considerable extent of forests in round numbers, 13,000,000 acres; but the difficult nature of the passes in the Alps and Apennines render it impossible to work them to commercial advantage. Spain has some 8,500,000 acres of forests, but the woodland is hampered here also by the nature of the ground. Portugal, with a good seaboard, has no difficulty in getting rid of the small extent of forest land that now remains—that is to say, not more than 1,000,000 acres. Turkey has extensive forests in Roumelia, near Constantinople, and also in various parts of the Asiatic possessions, but the population has been what Turks proverbially are—destructive, and no provision has been made for systematic replanting. France figures for about 22,000,000 acres of forest. In Great Britain the supply of home wood is simply nil; there are there chiefly for ornament, while in Ireland improved lands have been cut down, and woods that have served very important meteorological purposes.

Let us now see the export capacity and consumptive needs of the various countries:

Exports.	Imports.
Norway and Sweden.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	20,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	10,000,000
Turkey.....	10,000,000
Italy.....	10,000,000
Austria.....	10,000,000
Prussia.....	10,000,000
Poland.....	10,000,000
Russia.....	10,000,000
Finland.....	10,000,000
Sweden.....	10,000,000
Norway.....	10,000,000
Denmark.....	10,000,000
Holland.....	10,000,000
Belgium.....	10,000,000
France.....	10,000,000
Germany.....	10,000,000
Great Britain.....	10,000,000
Spain.....	10,000,000
Portugal.....	

MERCHANIS, LOOK!
Go to McBRIDE'S and secure right to manufacture CHERRY'S FRUIT DRIER. McBRIDE offers large lot Chinese Macing, direct importation, very low. FLY FANS, FILTERS, CLOCKS, CHINA, at factory prices.

NEW BOOKS.

AN ECHO OF PASSION, (Litho) \$1.25
NOTABLE THOUGHTS ABOUT WOMEN (Litho) 1.50
TALES OF THE ARGONAUTS, (New Edition) 2.00
WHITTIER LEAFLETS. 40
OUTER MORN, (Cloth) 40
HYPERION, (Cloth) 40
EMERSON, (Complete 6 vols) 10.00

HOLMAN, COFFIN & CO.,
Bookellers, Art and Commercial Stationers,
25 Marietta street, Atlanta, Ga.
Feb 1882—dly an mch

WATCH REPAIRING. JEWELRY REPAIRING, AND MANUFACTURING.

Having a FACTORY our facilities are superior to any other establishment in the south.
I. P. STEVENS & CO.,
34 Whitehall St.
Feb 1882—dly an mch

**TIN ROOFING,
SHEET IRON ROOFING,
GRAVEL ROOFING,**
Done with neatness and dispatch.
Orders from a distance solicited.

STEWART & SMITH,
69 Whitehall street,
Atlanta, Ga.
April 1882—dly an mch

COTTON AND WEATHER.

Cotton, middling uplands closed in Liverpool yesterday, at 6 1/2; in New York, at 12 1/2; in Atlanta, at 1 1/2.

OSBORN'S OFFICE, SIGNAL CORPS, U. S. A.,
KIMBALL HOUSE, May 4, 1882, P. M.

NAME OF STATION. Temperature. Wind. Force. Weather.

NAME OF STATION.	Temperature.	Wind.	Force.	Weather.
Atlanta.	59.97	S. W.	Fresh	Clear.
Augusta.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Galveston.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Indianapolis.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Key West.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Mobile.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Montgomery.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Port Jervis.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Pennsylvania.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Philadelphia.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.
Pittsburgh.	59.71	S. E.	Light	Clear.

Local Observations.

Time of Observation.	Barometer.	Thermometer.	Wind.	Force.	Weather.
6:41 a. m.	30.10	66.8	E. Fresh	00	Cloudy.
10:41	30.08	68.8	S. W. Fresh	00	Cloudy.
2:41	29.96	71.8	S. W. Fresh	00	Cloudy.
6:41 p. m.	29.97	61.8	S. W. Fresh	00	Clear.

Mean daily bar. 30.04. Maximum therm. 71.8.

Mean daily therm. 68.8. Minimum therm. 61.8. Mean daily wind 48.7. Total rainfall. 0.0.

NOTE.—Force of Wind: Light, 1 to 2 miles per hour; Moderate, 3 to 5; Fresh, 6 to 10; Gale, 11 to 15; Hurricane, 16 to 20; Storm, 21 to 25; Typhoon, 26 to 30.

Observations taken at 5 p. m. Local time.

Atlanta District.

Station.	Max.	Min.	Mean.	Bar.	Wind.	Force.	Weather.
Atlanta.	61	61	61	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
Sparksburg.	62	62	62	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
Toccoa.	63	63	63	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
Gainesville.	64	64	64	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
Dalton.	65	65	65	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
Calhoun.	66	66	66	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
Cartersville.	67	67	67	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
West Point.	68	68	68	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
Newnan.	69	69	69	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
Griffin.	70	70	70	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.

DISPERITS.

Station.	Max.	Min.	Mean.	Bar.	Wind.	Force.	Weather.
1 Wilmington.	81	59	70	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
2 Charleston.	80	58	69	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
3 Augusta.	79	57	68	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
4 Savannah.	78	56	67	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
5 Atlanta.	77	55	66	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
6 Montgomery.	76	54	65	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
7 Mobile.	75	53	64	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
8 New Orleans.	74	52	63	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
9 Galveston.	73	51	62	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
10 Vicksburg.	72	50	61	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
11 Little Rock.	71	49	60	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
12 Memphis.	70	48	59	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.
13 St. Louis.	69	47	58	30.04	S. W.	00	Cloudy.

Mean of Districts. 71.9 60.2 66.0

H. HALL, Sergeant Signal Corps, U. S. A.

DIAMONDS, WATCHES, JEWELRY AND SILVERWARE.

A. F. PICKERT.

Fine Goods and no High Tone Prices.

NO. 5 WHITEHALL STREET.

Feb 1882—dly an mch

Illustration of a watch face.

I constantly keep in stock a full line of the finest Spectacles and Eye Glasses imported. I guarantee them not only to assist the eye, but also to preserve them, thus rendering frequent changes unnecessary. Every pair I guarantee to give satisfaction for five years, either in white or tinted lenses.

A. F. PICKERT.

182—April 17

NO. 5 WHITEHALL STREET.

Feb 1882—dly an mch

Illustration of a watch face.

I constantly keep in stock a full line of the finest Spectacles and Eye Glasses imported. I guarantee them not only to assist the eye, but also to preserve them, thus rendering frequent changes unnecessary. Every pair I guarantee to give satisfaction for five years, either in white or tinted lenses.

A. F. PICKERT.

182—April 17

NO. 5 WHITEHALL STREET.

Feb 1882—dly an mch

Illustration of a watch face.

I constantly keep in stock a full line of the finest Spectacles and Eye Glasses imported. I guarantee them not only to assist the eye, but also to preserve them, thus rendering frequent changes unnecessary. Every pair I guarantee to give satisfaction for five years, either in white or tinted lenses.

A. F. PICKERT.

182—April 17

NO. 5 WHITEHALL STREET.

Feb 1882—dly an mch

Illustration of a watch face.

I constantly keep in stock a full line of the finest Spectacles and Eye Glasses imported. I guarantee them not only to assist the eye, but also to preserve them, thus rendering frequent changes unnecessary. Every pair I guarantee to give satisfaction for five years, either in white or tinted lenses.

A. F. PICKERT.

182—April 17

NO. 5 WHITEHALL STREET.

Feb 1882—dly an mch

Illustration of a watch face.

I constantly keep in stock a full line of the finest Spectacles and Eye Glasses imported. I guarantee them not only to assist the eye, but also to preserve them, thus rendering frequent changes unnecessary. Every pair I guarantee to give satisfaction for five years, either in white or tinted lenses.

A. F. PICKERT.

182—April 17

NO. 5 WHITEHALL STREET.

Feb 1882—dly an mch

Illustration of a watch face.

I constantly keep in stock a full line of the finest Spectacles and Eye Glasses imported. I guarantee them not only to assist the eye, but also to preserve them, thus rendering frequent changes unnecessary. Every pair I guarantee to give satisfaction for five years, either in white or tinted lenses.

A. F. PICKERT.

182—April 17

NO. 5 WHITEHALL STREET.

Feb 1882—dly an mch

CHAMBERLIN, BOYNTON & CO.
Just received beautiful Spring Styles Children's
SHOES AND SLIPPERS
Ladies' Shoes and Slippers, Gents' Low Cut Shoes.
NOVELTIES IN SILKS AND DRESS GOODS. NOVELTIES IN HOSIERY AND NECKWEAR. PARASOLS JUST OPENED.
CARPETS! CARPETS!! CARPETS!!!
Elegant Stock New Designs in Wilton's, Body and Tapestry Brussels, Ingrains, etc. WINDOW DRAPERY—Some New and Elegant Styles. MATTING: MATTING—Immense stock, Good Qualities and New Designs. Also, AGENTS BUTTERICK PATTERNS. Give us a call.

CHAMBERLIN, BOYNTON & CO.

LOCAL BREVITIES.

J. W. P. North has gone to Ferry to spend a few days.

Mrs. H. C. Hornaday returned from a pleasant visit to friends in Sandersville.

The Kimball house fountain was placed in the rotunda yesterday. It looks quite refreshing.

MEETINGS.

The revival meetings in the First Methodist Church are held every morning at 9 o'clock and every night at 7 o'clock. They increase in interest and power. All are invited to attend.

Kidney Disease.

Pain, Irritation, Retention, Incontinence, Dropsy, Gravel, etc., cured by "Anticidus," Dr. Depo's Kidney, Bladder & Lungs.

ROOMS TO RENT

Suitable for families, offices or young men's bed rooms. Large, well ventilated and airy. Apply at once to

MICKELBERRY & WHITLOOK,
No. 24 Alabama street.

may 5—dly

Free Cham Chowder

To-day at Meehan's saloon, No. 9 East Alabama street. Free lunch every day.

Go to Meehan's for "Manhattan Club" whisky, and for best wines and coolest lager beer in town.

Remember that 61 lots, constituting the new town laid off on the Georgia, and railroad, fifteen miles from Atlanta, will be sold at auction to-morrow at 10 o'clock. The construction train will leave at 6 o'clock from the yard, which is on the railroad about 100 yards beyond the gas works. There may be some delay in starting, but all who wish to attend had best be on hand promptly at 6 o'clock, as that is the starting time. As there may be no accommodation at Mableton, every one should carry a lunch. If any further information is desired, call at our office during to-day and it will be given.

Real Estate Agents, 32 Marietta street.

may 5—dly

CITY ITEMS.

Don't fail to attend the auction sale by Goode & Smith at No. 250 West Peachtree street to-day at 4:30 p. m. Remember everybody will have free ride on a p. m. reacher line.

Time! Time! Time! as cheap as any in the city, at wholesale and retail. W. S. Wilson & Bro.

may 5—dly

If you want an even, smooth roof, and one that will last you fifty years, go to W. S. Wilson & Bro. and buy their all-heart sawn Cypress shingles, all same width, as cheap as pine shingles. Remember the place. W. S. Wilson & Bro., 7 Spring street, on Western and Atlantic Railroad.

may 5—dly

Pitts' Carminative.

Attention is called to the advertisement of Pitts' Carminative. This medicine is highly recommended for children in a number of diseases to which they are subject. Read the card.

Stockholders' Convention.

The annual meeting of the stockholders of the Georgia railroad will be held in Augusta, commencing May 10th. Elsewhere is published the announcement that tickets can be procured in presentation of two certificates of stock at any of the depots of the company.

ANNOUNCEMENTS.

FOR THE COUNCIL.

W. H. BROTHERTON will be supported for Councilman for the first ward.

april 27—dly

MANY FRIENDS.

I am a candidate for the City Council from the First Ward.

april 27—dly

The friends of A. A. SHIELDS respectfully announce him a candidate for council from first ward

127 April 26—dly

Old Genuine

Durham Smoking Tobacco is only made by W. T. Blackwell & Co., of Durham, N. C.

Though longest on the market it is to-day the best and most popular smoking tobacco made.

Commencing in a small way, Messrs. Blackwell & Co. are now the largest manufacturers of smoking tobacco in the world.

Their well-known trade-mark is the Durham Bull. If you want Granulated or Long Cut Smoking Tobacco or Cigarettes, get the genuine and see that the trade-mark of the Bull is on each package. Don't be imposed on by the many imitations now on the market.

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

Elegant Millinery Department now glitters with all the new styles of Spring Millinery. Ladies will please call and examine this splendid display of beautiful goods. Also, sole agent in and for Atlanta for the sale of Mm. Demorest's Reliable Patterns, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

43 Peachtree, wants one or two first-class bread bakers; good wages paid.

april 14—dly

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75 & \$1.00 COUNTERS

are attracting thousands to see them. His Men's, Boy's and Children's ready-made Clothing department is now full. Men's, Boys' and Children's HATS a specialty, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

43 Peachtree, wants one or two first-class bread bakers; good wages paid.

april 14—dly

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75 & \$1.00 COUNTERS

are attracting thousands to see them. His Men's, Boy's and Children's ready-made Clothing department is now full. Men's, Boys' and Children's HATS a specialty, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

43 Peachtree, wants one or two first-class bread bakers; good wages paid.

april 14—dly

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75 & \$1.00 COUNTERS

are attracting thousands to see them. His Men's, Boy's and Children's ready-made Clothing department is now full. Men's, Boys' and Children's HATS a specialty, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

43 Peachtree, wants one or two first-class bread bakers; good wages paid.

april 14—dly

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75 & \$1.00 COUNTERS

are attracting thousands to see them. His Men's, Boy's and Children's ready-made Clothing department is now full. Men's, Boys' and Children's HATS a specialty, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

43 Peachtree, wants one or two first-class bread bakers; good wages paid.

april 14—dly

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75 & \$1.00 COUNTERS

are attracting thousands to see them. His Men's, Boy's and Children's ready-made Clothing department is now full. Men's, Boys' and Children's HATS a specialty, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

43 Peachtree, wants one or two first-class bread bakers; good wages paid.

april 14—dly

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75 & \$1.00 COUNTERS

are attracting thousands to see them. His Men's, Boy's and Children's ready-made Clothing department is now full. Men's, Boys' and Children's HATS a specialty, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

43 Peachtree, wants one or two first-class bread bakers; good wages paid.

april 14—dly

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75 & \$1.00 COUNTERS

are attracting thousands to see them. His Men's, Boy's and Children's ready-made Clothing department is now full. Men's, Boys' and Children's HATS a specialty, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

43 Peachtree, wants one or two first-class bread bakers; good wages paid.

april 14—dly

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75 & \$1.00 COUNTERS

are attracting thousands to see them. His Men's, Boy's and Children's ready-made Clothing department is now full. Men's, Boys' and Children's HATS a specialty, at

W. H. BROTHERTON'S

PARASOLS! PARASOLS!
JOHN KEELY'S
PARASOL STOCK
IS IMMENSE.

5,000 PARASOLS FOR SALE.

5,000 Bargains for as Many People

BARGAINS, BARGAINS!

A Parasol for 10c!

A Parasol for any Price!

800 20-inch Silk Parasols, half price.

500 22-inch Silk Parasols, a great bargain.

750 24-inch Silk Parasols, half price.

Japanese Parasols, half price!

Gingham Parasols, away below value.